

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311

RECEIVED

FEB 2 - 2006

Federal Communications Commission

SandraLyn Bailey

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 1:55 PM

To: KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; John Norton; Andrew Long; getup@alliancecm.org

Subject: comment to FCC #05-311

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of)
Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of)
the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984)
as amended by the Cable Television Consumer)
Protection and Competition Act of 1992)

MB Docket No. 05-311

COMMENTS OF COMMUNITY ACCESS TELEVISION INC.

These Comments are filed by Community Access Television Inc., in support of the comments filed by the Alliance for Community Media ("Alliance"), the Alliance for Communications Democracy, the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors ("NATOA"), and other national local government organizations. Like the Alliance, Community Access Television Inc. believes that local governments can issue an appropriate local franchise for new entrants into the video services field on a timely basis, just as they have for established cable services providers. In support of this belief, we wish to inform the Commission about the benefits of cable franchising and the Public, Educational, and Government Access ("PEG") services and their importance in our community.

Cable Franchising in Our Community**Community Information**

The Quad Cities is a area of Eastern Iowa and Western Illinois, that includes over twenty communities, with a population of over 300,000. Each community has its own franchise agreement with the cable operator, Mediacom. Several Illinois communities (Moline, East Moline) have newer franchise agreements with Mediacom, while some Iowa communities last passed franchise agreements in the 1980's. The largest community in the Quad City area is Davenport, Iowa (pop. 98,000). Our franchised cable provider is Mediacom Cable. Communities in our area have negotiated cable franchises since 1969. For the purpose of this correspondence, I will focus on Davenport, for they are in the refranchising process and have the most to lose from the passage of this legislation.

Our Current Franchise

The "current" franchise for Davenport expired in March of 2002. The local cable operator has been working under an extension of that agreement since that time. There have been some negotiations on a new agreement and a couple of drafts, but no new agreement is imminent at this time.

Davenport's franchise requires the cable operator to pay a franchise fee to the City in the amount of 5% of the cable operator's gross revenues. The revenues for franchise fee purposes are calculated based on the gross revenues of the operator, in accordance with the Federal Cable Act.

Davenport's franchise requires the cable operator to provide channel capacity for public, educational, and governmental ("PEG") access channels on the cable system with additional channel capacity available when demand requires. We currently have 1 channel devoted to public access (a shared channel by the entire Quad City Mediacom system); 1 channel devoted to educational access; and 2 channels devoted to government access.

The Davenport franchise requires that our Public Access channel be supported by the cable operator

No. of Copies Rec'd _____
LHA/ALDE

0

2/10/2006

maintaining a studio, which they may charge for using. A room with post-production equipment was opened and called 'a studio'. Due to the fees charged in the past, there was very little use of the studio. So the cable operator closed the facility. When the franchise renewal came up, the cable operator opened another room with editing equipment to the public for free, the tag on the door reads 'studio'. Since the public access producing community had become accustomed to working on home video equipment (VHS), there has again been little use of the new 'studio'.

The Davenport franchise does not provide any capital support for Public, Educational, or Government Access.

PEG Access Services

Community Access Television Inc., has provided access services in our community for 30 years. Unfortunately, Community Access Television Inc., operates outside of local franchise agreements and receives no financial support from any government entities or cable company. We are only able to supply public access users with basic equipment for production.

The Franchising Process

The cable system(s) serving our community also serves over twenty adjoining communities. In 2001 several communities on the Illinois side worked together to issue a cable franchise for AT&T, which was the cable company at the time. This allowed the company to quickly obtain franchises in these communities so as to be able to serve a large region, while also allowing for individual provisions in specific franchises in order to tailor them to meet local needs.

Under the law, a cable franchise functions as a contract between the local government (operating as the local franchising authority) and the cable operator. Like other contracts, its terms are negotiated. Under the Federal Cable Act it is the statutory obligation of the local government to determine the community's cable-related needs and interests and to ensure that these are addressed in the franchising process - to the extent that is economically feasible. However derived (whether requested by the local government or offered by the cable operator), once the franchise is approved by both parties the provisions in the franchise agreement function as contractual obligations upon both parties.

While a franchise is negotiated by the local government as a contract, the process provides notice requirements for the public and the cable operator under state and local law. It is the only opportunity for citizen input, in regards to community needs and wishes.

Competitive Cable Systems

Our community:

- has never been approached by a competitive provider to provide service.
- has not denied any provider the opportunity to serve in our community.
- does have mechanisms in place to offer the same or a comparable franchise to a competitor upon request.

Conclusions

This NPRM is only looking retrospectively at one aspect of the franchising process. We believe that the Commission must look to the future of the public's interest in telecommunication's services. The existing franchising process has provided a basis for public interest services appropriately tailored to each community's local needs. We believe that those services such as PEG should be required of all broadband telecommunications providers.

The local cable franchising process has functioned well in the Quad Cities and is an ongoing process.

Local cable franchising ensures that local cable operators are allowed access to the rights of way in a fair and evenhanded manner, that other users of the rights of way are not unduly inconvenienced, and that uses of the rights of way, including maintenance and upgrade of facilities, are undertaken in a manner which is in accordance with local requirements. Local cable franchising also ensures that our local community's specific needs are met and that local customers are protected.

Local franchises can also ensure that the cable operator provides the PEG Access services which are responsive to the local community needs as determined through community needs assessments and the local

knowledge of educators, local elected officials and local nonprofit organizations.

Local franchises thus provide a means for local government to appropriately oversee the operations of cable service providers in the public interest, and to ensure compliance with applicable laws. There is no need to create a new Federal bureaucracy in Washington to handle matters of primarily local interest.

Local franchises allow each community, including ours, to have a voice in how local cable systems will be implemented and what features (such as PEG access, institutional networks or local emergency alerts, etc.) will be available to meet local needs. These factors are equally present for new entrants as for existing users.

The Community Access Television Inc., therefore respectfully requests that the Commission take this opportunity to reaffirm the primacy of local government authority over franchising and should make clear that imposition on a new entrant of PEG Access, consumer protections and other public interest services requirements that are equivalent to those of the incumbent does not constitute an unreasonable refusal to award an additional competitive franchise within the meaning of federal law..

The PEG Access model should be strengthened and applied to new technologies, assuring that localism and community participation are not displaced by commercial interests.

The nation would be well served by a policy of "Community Reinvestment" through PEG Access that includes funds and bandwidth and/or spectrum that will be used for public purposes by:

1. Allowing the local community which owns the public rights-of-way to franchise and determine the best use of the community's property;
2. Dedicating ten percent of the public airwaves and capacity on communication facilities that occupy public rights-of-way to PEG use for free speech, diverse points of view, local programs, community based education and political speech;
3. Mandating funding of five percent of gross revenues above and beyond any franchise fee to local authorities from all infrastructure and service providers and spectrum licensees to support PEG equipment, facilities, training and services; and,
4. Making PEG Access universally available to any consumer of advanced telecommunications services capable of full-motion video.

Respectfully submitted,

Community Access Television Inc.,

By: Mike McGuire
1126 West 17th Street
Davenport, IA 52804

RECEIVED 05-311

Federal Bureau of Investigation
1976

ORIGINAL

File of FBI

ORIGINAL

From: JUDY PIZARRO [jxp411@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2006 2:01 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Updating Cable franchising

Please, please update Cable franchising regulations to allow for more competition and better rates for consumers. Comcast Cable has a virtual stranglehold on the Delaware Valley area and their cable rates are ridiculous! More competition would allow fairer pricing and allow consumers a CHOICE!

Please consider doing this ASAP. Thank you. I would appreciate any input you could share about your thoughts and possible actions to address this via return E-mail.

Judy Pizarro
55 E. Kings Hwy.
Apt. 604
Maple Shade, NJ 08052

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

114 AB 0011

05-311

[REDACTED]

From: Daryl Fenstad [fencer55@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2006 4:20 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: We need cable competition!

RECEIVED

FEB 7 2006

FEB 7 2006 ORIGINAL

FEB 7 2006 ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

To FFC,

As an American citizen, I am requesting that you make it easier for cable companies to get franchises on a state by state basis, rather than community by community, as it is with telephone franchises. If you make it easier for cable companies to get franchises, it will increase competition, increase service and decrease the cost to the consumer. The deregulation of the cable companies was supposed to reduce the price of cable service, but due to the monopolies, it increased it instead.

Please do what is right for the average American. Make it easier for cable providers to compete with each other. It will be good for America if you do.

Daryl Fenstad
42 Flamingo Road
Hatboro, PA 19040

Rec'd Civil Rights 0
100-451011

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Terry Segal [segalsnest@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 3:53 PM
To: KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate
Subject: please support PEG Access TV!!

To:
Chairman Kevin J. Martin: KJMWEB@fcc.gov

ORIGINAL

Commissioner Michael J. Copps: Michael.Copps@fcc.gov

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein:
Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov

Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate: Deborah.Tate@fcc.gov

Dear Respected Commissioners:

I am writing today regarding the pending FCC ruling relating to Franchise Agreements and Public Access TV to fervently request that you support this funding to ensure the average community member's right to free speech and creative/educational expression.

As a member of a very small community, I highly value the assets and communication capability that we have in our local PEG station. As a low income member of the station, I can make my voice heard. That is something that is completely unavailable due to the extremely high costs per minute required on any commercial broadcast network.

The future of our nation is at risk of promoting the ideas and values of only a wealthy few who can afford to pay for commercials on broadcast television or who can afford to produce expensive television shows. The average majority needs to be heard, also.

Please give the PEG Stations the support that is so necessary for free speech in this day of commercialism. Thank you so much for registering your support for the right to free speech in this way.

Respectfully, Terry Lilian Segal
1220 32nd Street
Port Townsend, WA 98368
(360) 379-1364

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Not a Segal
0

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Paris-Stamm [gparis@olympus.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 6:54 PM
To: Deborah Tate
Subject: PEG Access

ORIGINAL

I support PEG Accses funding through franchise agreements for PTTV - Glen Paris

100 of Clonin Tech 0
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311

Sand

From: Eric Engle [ec.engage@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2006 12:17 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Change rules to allow local cable tv competition

ORIGINAL

Dear Chairman:

I am a middle-class West Deptford, NJ resident who has been a restricted Comcast Cable TV subscriber now for over 10+ years. During that time, I have been victim to annual cable rate hikes averaging approximately 10% a year --- a pace far exceeding annual inflation. Why? Because Comcast enjoys a monopoly as the only provider of local cable services in my area. Please support efforts allowing local cable competition so that myself and other average Americans can benefit from competitive cable service pricing and an increased emphasis on service. Thank you.

Eric Engle

No. of Copies rec'd 0
LIST AECDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311

From: Terry Segal [segalsnest@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 3:53 PM
To: KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate
Subject: please support PEG Access TV!!

ORIGINAL
ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
FEB 9 2006
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

To:
Chairman Kevin J. Martin: KJMWEB@fcc.gov
Commissioner Michael J. Copps: Michael.Copps@fcc.gov
Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein:
Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov
Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate: Deborah.Tate@fcc.gov

Dear Respected Commissioners:
I am writing today regarding the pending FCC ruling relating to Franchise Agreements and Public Access TV to fervently request that you support this funding to ensure the average community member's right to free speech and creative/educational expression.

As a member of a very small community, I highly value the assets and communication capability that we have in our local PEG station. As a low income member of the station, I can make my voice heard. That is something that is completely unavailable due to the extremely high costs per minute required on any commercial broadcast network.

The future of our nation is at risk of promoting the ideas and values of only a wealthy few who can afford to pay for commercials on broadcast television or who can afford to produce expensive television shows. The average majority needs to be heard, also.

Please give the PEG Stations the support that is so necessary for free speech in this day of commercialism. Thank you so much for registering your support for the right to free speech in this way.

Respectfully, Terry Lilian Segal
1220 32nd Street
Port Townsend, WA 98368
(360) 379-1364

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

No of Copies 0
LLS AB-DE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311
RECEIVED
FEB 24 2006
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[REDACTED]

From: Nancy Alvarez [nancy44@cablespeed.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 2:31 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: public access TV

ORIGINAL

As a member of a very small community, I have come to value the assets and communication capability that we have in our local PEG station. It is a place I can use to make my voice heard, as well as listening to the opinions of others in our small town. The future of our nation is at risk of promoting the ideas and values of only a wealthy few who can afford to pay for commercials on broadcast television or who can afford to produce expensive television shows. Average community members desperately need a forum to discuss their ideas about our country as well. This will effect our democratic system of government if we cannot talk to, and hear, one another. This is something that is completely unavailable to most of our citizens due to the extremely high per minute costs required on any commercial broadcast network.

Please give the PEG Stations the support that is so necessary for free speech in this day of commercialism. Please support the average person's right to free speech in this way.

Sincerely,
Nancy Greenwald Alvarez
Port Townsend, Washington 98368

Number of Copies Rec'd 0
List ABOVE

2/10/2006

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

05-311

[REDACTED]

From: Editoronnet@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2006 2:07 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: In Support of PEG Station Funding

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
FEB 2 2006
Federal Communications Commission

To:
Chairman Kevin J. Martin: KJMWEB@fcc.gov
Commissioner Michael J. Copps: Michael.Copps@fcc.gov
Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein: Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov
Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate: Deborah.Tate@fcc.gov

As a member of a very small community, I highly value the assets and communication capability that we have in our local PEG station. As a low income member of the station, I can make my voice heard. That is something that is completely unavailable due to the extremely high per minute costs required on any commercial broadcast network.

The future of our nation is at risk of promoting the ideas and values of only a wealthy few who can afford to pay for commercials on broadcast television or who can afford to produce expensive television shows. The average majority needs to be heard, also.

Please give the PEG Stations the support that is so necessary for free speech in this day of commercialism. Please support the average person's right to free speech in this way.

Respectfully, Kathryn deLong
290 Colman Drive
Port Townsend, WA 98368
(360) 379-5330

FILED
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
0

2/10/2006